

AN INTRODUCTORY COURSE ON GEOMETRIC FIELD THEORIES: THE MULTISYMPLECTIC SETTING

FIRST LECTURE: INTRODUCTORY REMARKS. PREVIOUS
GEOMETRIC BACKGROUND

NARCISO ROMÁN-ROY

(narciso.roman@upc.edu)

Dept. of Mathematics & Institute of Mathematics (IMTech)



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA
DE CATALUNYA
BARCELONATECH

XXXIV International Fall Workshop on Geometry and Physics

Universitat Rovira i Virgili (URV)

September 1–4, 2026

COURSE PROGRAMME

- ① First lecture: **Introductory remarks. Previous geometric background.**
- ② Second lecture: **Multisymplectic Lagrangian field theory.**
- ③ Third lecture: **Multisymplectic Hamiltonian field theory.**
- ④ Fourth lecture: **Symmetries. Gauge symmetries. Conservation laws.**

1 INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

- Origin and basic ideas on field theories
- Some examples of classical field theories
- Covariant and multisymplectic formulations
- Researchers Cast
- Geometric (multisymplectic) field theories
- Statement and aim of the course

2 PREVIOUS GEOMETRIC BACKGROUND

- Multisymplectic manifolds
- Multivector fields
- Operations with multivector fields
- Multivector fields in fiber bundles
- Ehresmann connections

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

ORIGIN AND BASIC IDEAS ON FIELD THEORIES

From the historical point of view, the notion of **field** was introduced in the 19th century, to overcome the idea of **action at a distance** in the theories of *gravitational*, *electric* and *magnetic forces*.

In that context, a **field** is a scalar or a vector or tensor **function of several variables** (usually space-time coordinates), representing the space-time distribution of a physical magnitude; (i.e., a measurable physical property in a neighbourhood of every point of space at each moment of time).

The field is originated by physical entities (particles) that have certain characteristics and acts producing a **force** on those same type of entities.

Later, its meaning was expanded to describe a wide variety of phenomena and problems in physics and mathematics (such as, *thermodynamics*, *fluid dynamics*, *wave propagation*, *theoretical physics*, or *geometry*).

All of them are described by **partial differential equations** which, in most cases, can be derived from a **variational principle**, using **multiple variational calculus**. Then, the field theory is **of variational type**.

SOME EXAMPLES OF CLASSICAL FIELD THEORIES

Name	Equation	Lagrangian
Heat	$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - k \nabla^2 T = 0$ T <i>Temperature</i>, k <i>thermal diffusivity</i>.	It is not variational
Navier–Stokes	$\rho \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right) + \nabla p - \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = \rho \mathbf{f}$ $\mathbf{v}$ <i>fluid velocity</i>, p <i>pressure</i>, ρ <i>density</i>, μ <i>viscosity</i>, $\mathbf{f}$ <i>external force</i>.	It is not variational
Wave (D'Alembert)	$\frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \psi = 0$ ψ <i>wave displacement</i>, v <i>velocity prop.</i>	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \ \nabla \psi\ ^2$
Maxwell	$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{j} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$ $\mathbf{E}$ <i>electric field</i>, $\mathbf{B}$ <i>magnetic field</i>, ρ <i>charge density</i>, $\mathbf{j}$ <i>current density</i>, ϵ_0 <i>elec. constant</i>, μ_0 <i>magn. constant</i>.	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon_0 E^2 - \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) - \Phi \rho + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{j}$ Φ <i>scalar potential</i>, $\mathbf{A}$ <i>vector potential</i>.

Name	Equation	Lagrangian
Klein-Gordon	$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \nabla^2 \psi - m^2 \psi$ $\psi \text{ scalar field .}$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} ((\nabla \psi)^2 + m^2 \psi^2)$
Sine-Gordon	$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial t} = \sin \psi$ $\psi \text{ scalar field .}$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \cos \psi$
Dirac	$\gamma^i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^i} = m \mathbb{I} \psi$ $\psi \text{ scalar field .}$ $\gamma^i \text{ Dirac matrices, } \mathbb{I} \text{ identity matrix}$	$\bar{\psi} \gamma^i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^i} - \bar{\psi} \psi$
Laplace	$\nabla^2 \psi = 0$ $\psi \text{ scalar field .}$	$\frac{1}{2} \nabla \psi ^2$
Minimal surfaces	$(1 + f_y^2) f_{xx} - 2 f_x f_y f_{xy} + (1 + f_x^2) f_{yy} = 0$ $f = f(x, y), f_x \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, f_y \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$	$\sqrt{1 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}$

Name	Equation	Lagrangian
Einstein	$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$ g metric, R Ricci tensor, R scalar curv., T SEM tensor, Λ cosmological ctn., G gravitational ctn., c light velocity.	$\frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda) + L_{SEM}$ $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial L_{SEM}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}$ $g = \det g_{\mu\nu}$
Korteweg– de Vries	$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - 6u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} = 0$ $u = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \text{ wave amplitude}$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right)^3 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}$

Others:

Generalized gravitational theories, Chern–Simons theory, string and branes theories, Monge–Ampère equation, Carrollian models, Ricci flow,

.....

COVARIANT AND MULTISYMPLECTIC FORMULATIONS

Covariant formulations of field theories are relevant in theoretical physics.

Covariance means that the theory is invariant by arbitrary changes of reference systems or generic transformations of coordinates. This means that the field equations are of tensorial type.

For field theories of **variational type**, this property is manifest in the **Lagrangian formalism**. On them, in general, the fields are functions on spacetime and the spacetime coordinates are indistinguishable independent variables.

The ***multisymplectic formulation*** provides the **most general** and complete **geometric** framework for a **covariant, finite-dimensional** description of these theories (and also for non-covariant ones).

Other alternative geometrical finite-dimensional covariant models for classical field theories are the ***polysymplectic, k-symplectic*** and ***k-cosymplectic*** formulations.

All these structures are generalization of ***symplectic*** geometry.

Polysymplectic and k -(co)symplectic structures:

-  A. Awane, “ k -symplectic structures”, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1992) 4046–4052.
-  M. de León, E. Merino, J. Oubiña, P. Rodrigues, M. Salgado, “Hamiltonian systems on k -cosymplectic manifolds”, *J. Math. Phys.* **39** (1998), 876–893.
-  M. de León, E. Merino, M. Salgado, “ k -cosymplectic manifolds and Lagrangian field theories”, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 2092–2104.
-  M. de León, M. Salgado, and S. Vilariño, *Methods of Differential Geometry in Classical Field Theories*, World Scientific, 2015.
-  G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, G. Sardanashvily, *New Lagrangian and Hamiltonian methods in field theory*, World Scientific Pub. Co., NJ, 1997.
-  C. Günther, “The polysymplectic Hamiltonian formalism in the field theory and the calculus of variations I”, *J. Diff. Geom.* **25** (1987) 23–53.
-  I.V. Kanatchikov, “Canonical structure of classical field theory in the polymomentum phase space”, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **41** (1998) 49–90,
-  G. Sardanashvily, *Generalized Hamiltonian formalism for field theory. Constraint systems*, World Scientific Pub. Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995.

Remark: There are also other **infinite dimensional** (geometric) formulations of classical field theories (covariant and non-covariant).

On them, the **configuration space** of the theory is the **space of solutions** of field equations and the formalism is usually developed in the tangent or the cotangent bundles of this infinite dimensional manifold.



C. Crnković, E. Witten, “Covariant description of canonical formalism in geometrical theories”, in *Three Hundred Years of Gravitation*, Cambridge University Press, Princeton, 1987, 676–684.



M. de León, D. Martín de Diego, A. Santamaría, “Symmetries in classical field theory”, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **1**(5) (2004) 651–710.



M.J. Gotay, “A multisymplectic framework for classical field theory and the calculus of variations. II. Space+time decomposition”, *Dif. Geom. Appl.* **1** (1991) 375–390.



M.J. Gotay, J. Isenberg, J.E. Marsden, R. Montgomery, “Momentum maps and classical relativistic fields II: Part II: Canonical analysis of field theories”, arXiv:math-ph/0411032 [math-ph] (2004).



J. Lee, R.M. Wald, “Local symmetries and constraints”, *J. Math. Phys.* **31**(3) (1990) 725–743.

RESEARCHERS CAST

(Everyone who is here belongs here,
but not everyone who belongs here is here).

“USA school”: H. Goldschmidt, M.J. Gotay, J. Isenberg, J.E. Marsden, R. Montgomery, S. Shkoller, J. Sniatycki, S.Z. Sternberg, *et al*

“Italian school”: M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia, G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, M. Modugno, G. Sardanashvily, L. Vitagliano, *et al*.

“German school”: E. Binz, H.R. Fischer, M. Forger, C. Gunther, C. Paufler, H. Roemer, L. Ryvkin, *et al*.

“French school”: A. Awane, P.J. Dedecker, J. Kounine, F. Hélein, D. Vey, T. Wurzbacher, *et al*.

“Polish school”: J. Kijowski, W.M. Tulczyjew, J. Grabowski, K. Grabowska, W. Szczyrba, *et al*.

“British school”: M. Crampin, J.K. Lawson, G. Martin, L.K. Norris, D.J. Saunders, *et al*.

“Belgium school”: F. Cantrijn, W. Sarlet, J. Vankerschaver, *et al.*

“Czech school”: M. Janyska, D. Krupka, O. Krupkova, *et al.*

“Romanian school”: R.M. Miron, T.S. Ratiu, *et al.*

In other countries: P. Michor, I.V. Kanatchikov, *et al.*

“Spanish school”: V. Aldaya, J.A. de Azcárraga, F. Cariñena, M. Castrillón, M. de León, A. Echeverría-Enríquez, P.L. García, X. Gràcia, L.A. Ibort, D. Martín de Diego, J.C. Marrero, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, J. Muñoz-Masqué, N. Román-Roy, M. Salgado, S. Vilariño, *et al.*

GEOMETRIC (MULTISYMPLECTIC) FIELD THEORIES

Geometric (multisymplectic) framework for **classical field theories**:

- An m -dimensional orientable manifold M , with volume form $\omega_M \in \Omega^m(M)$; (typically *spacetime*).
- A fiber bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$, with $\dim E = m + n$, which is the **covariant configuration bundle** of the theory.
A **field** is a (local) section $\phi: U \subset M \rightarrow E$ of the projection π .
- A fiber bundle $\bar{\varrho}: \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varrho} E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, which is the **(multi)phase space** of the theory.
A **state of a field** ϕ is a (local) section $\psi: U \subset M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of the projection $\bar{\varrho}$ such that $\phi = \varrho \circ \psi$.
- $\mathcal{F}$ is endowed with an **exact $(m+1)$ -(pre)multisymplectic form** $\Omega \in \Omega^{m+1}(\mathcal{F})$ (or with other alternative structures: **polysymplectic** or **k -(co)symplectic forms**).
- The field equations are expressed geometrically in several equivalent ways using these structures.

Note: The case $m = 1$ corresponds to **time-dependent mechanics**.

Remark: A very relevant particular case are **Yang–Mills theories**, whose geometric description is made using **principal bundles**.

-  M.F. Atiyah, *Geometry of Yang-Mills Fields*, Accademia Nazionale dei Lince Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa. 1979.
-  M.J. Bergvelt, E.A. de Kerf, “The Hamiltonian structure of Yang-Mills theories and instantons” (Part II), *Physica* **139A** (1986) 125–148.
-  E. Binz, J. Sniatycki, H.R. Fischer, *Geometry of Classical Fields*, North-Holland Math. Stud., Amsterdam, 1989.
-  M. Daniel, C.M. Viallet, “The geometrical setting of gauge theories of the Yang-Mills type”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**(1) (1980) 175–197.
-  M. Drechsler, M.E. Mayer, *Fiber Bundle Techniques in Gauge Theories*, Lecture Notes in Physics Springer-Verlag, Austin, 1977.
-  K.B. Marathe, G. Martucci, “The geometry of gauge fields”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **6**(1) (1989) 1–106 .

Multisymplectic treatments for Yang–Mills theories have been developed:

-  F. Hélein, “Multisymplectic formulation of Yang–Mills equations and Ehresmann connections”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **19**(4) (2015) 805–835.
-  L. A. Ibort, A. Spivak, “Covariant Hamiltonian field theories on manifolds with boundary: Yang–Mills theories”, *J. Geom. Mech.* **9** (2017) 47–82.

STATEMENT AND AIM OF THE COURSE

This course provides an introduction to the **multisymplectic formulation of first-order variational classical field theories** (those where the Lagrangians depend, at most, on first derivatives of the fields).

The objectives of the course are the following:

- To provide a concise introduction to **classical field theories** and their geometric formulation.
- To introduce the fundamental concepts of **multisymplectic geometry** and other additional geometric tools that will be used in the course.
- To study the geometry of **first-order jet bundles** and develop the **Lagrangian formalism** for first-order classical field theories, covering both **regular** and **singular cases**.
- To describe **bundles of forms** and construct the Hamiltonian formalism for **regular** and **singular (almost-regular)** field theories.
- To review the basic concepts of **symmetries**, **gauge symmetries**, and **conservation laws** in the multisymplectic setting.
- To illustrate the theory through some examples and applications drawn from modern physics.

GEOMETRIC BACKGROUND

MULTISYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

DEFINITION 2.1

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a differentiable manifold, $\dim \mathcal{M} = n$, and $\Omega \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$, $k \leq n$

- The form Ω is **1-nondegenerate** if, for every $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and $X_p \in T_p\mathcal{M}$, then $i(X_p)\Omega_p = 0 \iff X_p = 0$.
- The form Ω is a **multisymplectic form** if it is closed and 1-nondegenerate.

If Ω is exact then it is called an **exact multisymplectic form**.

If Ω is only closed then it is called a **premultisymplectic form**.

If Ω is only 1-nondegenerate then it is an **almost-multisymplectic form**.

- A **(exact, pre, almost) multisymplectic manifold** (of degree k) is a couple $(\mathcal{M}, \Omega)$, where $\Omega \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ is a (exact, pre, almost) multisymplectic form.

For $p \in \mathcal{M}$, a form $\Omega \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ defines the vector bundle morphism

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^\flat: \quad T_p\mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \bigwedge^{k-1} T_p^*\mathcal{M} \\ X_p &\mapsto \iota(X_p)\Omega_p\end{aligned},$$

and thus the corresponding morphism of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^\flat: \quad \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) &\rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(\mathcal{M}) \\ X &\mapsto \iota(X)\Omega\end{aligned}.$$

Then, if Ω is closed, it is multisymplectic if, and only if, these morphisms are **injective**.

Examples:

- Multisymplectic manifolds of degree 2 are **symplectic manifolds**.
- Multisymplectic manifolds of degree n are **orientable manifolds** and the n -multisymplectic forms are **volume forms**.
- **Bundles of k -forms (k -multicotangent bundles)**, $\Lambda^k(T^*Q)$, with their *canonical* $(k+1)$ -forms are exact multisymplectic manifolds of degree $k+1$.
- **Jet bundles** (over m -dimensional manifolds) endowed with the *Poincaré-Cartan* $(m+1)$ -forms associated with (singular) **Lagrangian densities** are exact (pre)multisymplectic manifolds of degree $m+1$.

Remarks:

- In general, multisymplectic manifolds have no *Darboux coordinates*. For k -multicotangent bundles $\Lambda^k(T^*Q)$, systems of *natural adapted coordinates* $(x^i, p_{i_1 \dots i_k})$ in $U \subset \Lambda^k(T^*Q)$ are Darboux coordinates, and their canonical multisymplectic $(k+1)$ -forms read as

$$\Omega|_U = dp_{i_1 \dots i_k} \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}.$$

For a generic multisymplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \Omega)$, additional properties are needed in order to assure the existence of Darboux coordinates. In particular, it is necessary they have additional structures (some distributions satisfying certain conditions). These are called *special multisymplectic manifolds*.

- If $\kappa: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ is a fiber bundle, a *multisymplectic form* $\Omega \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$, $k = \dim M + 1$, is said to be a *variational multisymplectic form* if

$$\iota(X)\iota(Y)\iota(Z)\Omega = 0, \text{ for every } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}^{V(\kappa)}(\mathcal{M}).$$

(These are precisely the kinds of forms that arise in *variational classical field theories*).

REFERENCES

-  F. Cantrijn, A. Ibort, M. de León, “Hamiltonian structs. on multisymplectic manifolds”, *Ren. Sem. Mat. U. Pol. Torino* **54** (1996) 225–236.
-  F. Cantrijn, L.A. Ibort, M. de León, “On the geometry of multisymplectic manifolds”. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser.* **66** (1999), 303–330.
-  M. de León D. Martín de Diego, A. Santamaría-Merino, “Tulczyjew triples and Lagrangian submanifolds in classical field theories”, *App. Diff. Geom. Mech.* (W. Sarlet, F. Cantrijn eds.), Gent, Academia Press, (2003), 21–47.
-  M.J. Gotay, “A multisymplectic framework for classical field theory and the calculus of variations. I. Covariant Hamiltonian formalism”, *Anal. Geom.: 200 years after Lagrange*. North-Holland. Amsterdam (1991) 203–235.
-  A. Echeverría-Enríquez, A. Ibort, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy, “Invariant forms and automorphisms of locally homogeneous multisymplectic manifolds”, *J. Geom. Mech.* **4**(4) (2012) 397–419.
-  J. Kijowski, W.M. Tulczyjew, *A Symplectic Framework for Field Theories*, Lect. Notes Phys. **170**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1979).
-  G. Martin, “A Darboux theorem for multisymplectic manifolds”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **16** (1988) 133–138.
-  L. Ryvkin, T. Wurzbacher, “An invitation to multisymplectic geometry”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **142** (2019) 9–36.

MULTIVECTOR FIELDS

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a manifold with $\dim \mathcal{M} = n$.

DEFINITION 2.2

Sections of the *multitangent bundle* $\Lambda^m T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ($2 \leq m \leq n$) are called *m -multivector fields* or *multivector fields of degree m* on $\mathcal{M}$. They are contravariant skew-symmetric tensor fields of degree m in $\mathcal{M}$.

The set of m -multivector fields in $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted $\mathfrak{X}^m(\mathcal{M})$.

$\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^m(\mathcal{M})$ is *locally decomposable* if, for every $p \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists an open neighborhood $U_p \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \mathfrak{X}(U_p)$ such that

$$\mathbf{X}|_{U_p} = X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_m \equiv \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^m X_\mu.$$

If $\{y^i\}$ are local coordinates in $\mathcal{M}$, their local expressions are

$$\mathbf{X} = \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^m X_\mu = \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^m X_\mu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad (1)$$

The set of loc. decomp. m -multivector fields in $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted $\mathfrak{X}_{ld}^m(\mathcal{M})$.

Locally decomposable m -multivector fields are locally associated with m -dimensional distributions $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is locally spanned by $\langle X_\mu \rangle$. Multivector fields associated with the same distribution form an equivalence class $\{\mathbf{X}\}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{ld}^m(\mathcal{M})$, and if $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' \in \{\mathbf{X}\}$, then $\mathbf{X}' = f \mathbf{X}$, for $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

DEFINITION 2.3

A locally decomposable multivector field is **integrable** if its associated distribution is integrable; that is, involutive.

If $\mathbf{X}$ is integrable, a map $\psi: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\psi = (\psi^i(x^\mu))$, is an **integral map** of $\mathbf{X}$ if, for the local expression (1),

$$\frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial x^\mu} = X_\mu^i \circ \psi.$$

OPERATIONS WITH MULTIVECTOR FIELDS

DEFINITION 2.4

The **contraction** between $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^m(\mathcal{M})$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$, $m \leq k \leq n$, is their total tensorial contraction. For loc. dec, m.v.f. $\mathbf{X} = \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^m X_\mu$,

$$\iota(\mathbf{X})\alpha := \iota(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_m)\alpha = \iota(X_m) \cdots \iota(X_1)\alpha.$$

The **Lie derivative** of α with respect to $\mathbf{X}$ is defined by

$$L_{\mathbf{X}}\alpha := [d, \iota(\mathbf{X})]\alpha = d\iota(\mathbf{X})\alpha - (-1)^m \iota(\mathbf{X})d\alpha.$$

The **Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket** of $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^m(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}^n(\mathcal{M})$ is the multivector field $[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] \in \mathfrak{X}^{m+n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, such that,

$$L_{[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]} := [L_{\mathbf{X}}, L_{\mathbf{Y}}] = L_{\mathbf{X}}L_{\mathbf{Y}} - L_{\mathbf{Y}}L_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

For locally decomposable m.v.f. $\mathbf{X} = \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^m X_\mu$, $\mathbf{Y} = \bigwedge_{\nu=1}^n Y_\nu$,

$$[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} [X_i, Y_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{X}_i \cdots \wedge X_m \wedge Y_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{Y}_j \cdots \wedge Y_n.$$

If $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^k(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$, then,

$$\iota([Y, \mathbf{X}]) = L_Y \iota(\mathbf{X}) - \iota(\mathbf{X}) L_Y.$$

MULTIVECTOR FIELDS IN FIBER BUNDLES

Let $\kappa: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ be a fiber bundle with $\dim M = m$ and $\dim \mathcal{M} = N + m$.

DEFINITION 2.5

A multivector field $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^m(\mathcal{M})$ is **κ -transverse** if the distribution associated with $\{\mathbf{X}\}$ also is.

If M is an orientable manifold and $\omega_M \in \Omega^m(M)$ is the volume form, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^m(\mathcal{M})$ is κ -transverse if, and only if,

$$\iota(\mathbf{X})(\kappa^*\omega_M) \neq 0;$$

and a representative in the class $\{\mathbf{X}\}$ is given by $\iota(\mathbf{X})(\kappa^*\omega_M) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 2.6

If κ -transverse m.v.f. $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{X}^m(\mathcal{M})$ is integrable, then its integral manifolds are locally the images of local sections $\psi: U \subset M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of κ .

EHRESMANN CONNECTIONS

DEFINITION 2.7

An **Ehresmann connection** on a fiber bundle $\kappa: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ is one of the following equivalent objects.

- A κ -semibasic 1-form ∇ on $\mathcal{M}$ with values in $T\mathcal{M}$ (a $(1,1)$ -tensor field on $\mathcal{M}$) such that $\iota(\nabla)\alpha = \alpha$, for every κ -semibasic form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$ (here $\iota(\nabla)\alpha$ denotes the usual tensorial contraction). ∇ is called a **connection form**.
- A subbundle $H(\nabla)$ of $T\mathcal{M}$ such that $T\mathcal{M} = V(\kappa) \oplus H(\nabla)$. $V(\kappa)$ and $H(\nabla)$ are called the **vertical** and **horizontal distributions**.

PROPOSITION 2.8

Classes of locally decomposable and κ -transverse multivector fields $\{\mathbf{X}\} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\text{ld}}^m(\mathcal{M})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with Ehresmann connection forms ∇ on $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$. This correspondence is characterized by the fact that $H(\nabla)$ is the distribution associated with $\{\mathbf{X}\}$.

If $\{x^\mu, z^i\}$ are adapted coordinates in the bundle $\kappa: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$, then:

$$\nabla = dx^\mu \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + F_\mu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right),$$

$$H(\nabla) = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + F_\mu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right\rangle,$$

and a representative of the associated class $\{\mathbf{X}\} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{ld}^m(\mathcal{M})$ is

$$\mathbf{X} = \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + F_\mu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right);$$

DEFINITION 2.9

A connection is **integrable** if the horizontal distribution $H(\nabla)$ is integrable. (The necessary and sufficient condition is that the **curvature** of ∇ is zero; that is, the connection is **flat**).

In the above coordinate expressions, if ∇ and $\mathbf{X}$ are integrable, and $\psi(x^\mu) = (x^\mu, \psi^i(x^\mu))$ is an integral section of them, then

$$\frac{\partial \psi^i}{\partial x^\mu} = F_\mu^i \circ \psi.$$

REFERENCES

-  R.L. Bryant, S.S. Chern, R.B. Gardner, H.L. Goldshmidt, P.A. Griffith, *Exterior Differential Systems*, Math. Sci. Inst. Pub. **18**. Springer, NY, 1991
-  F. Cantrijn, A. Ibort, M. de León, "Hamiltonian structures multisymplectic manifolds.", *Rend. Sem. Mat. U. Pol. Torino* **54** (1996), 225–236.
-  A. Echeverría-Enríquez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy, "Multivector fields and connections: Setting Lagrangian equations in field theories", *J. Math. Phys.* **39**(9) (1998) 4578–4603.
-  C. Ehresmann, "Espaces fibrés associées", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **213** (1941) 762–4.
-  W. Greub, S. Halperin, S. Vanstone, *Connections, curvature and cohomology*, Pure Appl. Math. **47**, Acad. Press, New York, 1972.
-  D. Husemoller, *Fibre Bundles*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
-  I. Kolár, P.W. Michor, J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1993.
-  J.M. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **218**, Springer, New York (2012).
-  C.M. Marle, "The Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket and interior products", *J. Geom. Phys.* **23**(3-4), 350–359 (1997).